BORA LASKIN LAW LIBRARY
3 1761 10083671 7

Author - ARTHUR VON MEHREN.

Title - CASES AND MATERIALS ON
COMPARATIVE LAW.

Storage
K
558
.V66
1954

Table of Contents

BOOK II

THE INSTITUTIONAL AND LEGAL STRUCTURE OF FRENCH AND GERMAN GOVERNMENTS

Part 1. France


Chapter I The Institutional and Legal Structure of French Government II-1-1

A. Introduction II-1-1

B. The Legislative Branch of Government II-1-2

C. The Executive Branch of Government II-1-8

D. The Administrative Branch of Government II-1-20

Chapter II The Separation of Powers, French Style II-2-1

Section I. Introduction II-2-1

Section II. Constitutional Allocations of Powers and Functions II-2-4

A. The Role and Position of the Legislature II-2-4

1. The Scope of the Legislative Power II-2-4

a. The Period 1789-1946 II-2-4

b. The Constitution of 27 October 1946 II-2-22

c. The Theoretical and Historical Basis of the Legislative Position II-2-37

(i) The background II-2-37
(ii) More recent developments II-2-38

2. Legislative Control Over the Scope of the Executive and Judicial Powers II-2-40

a. Legislative Control Over the Scope of the Executive Power, Herein of Decree-Laws II-2-40

b. Legislative Control Over the Scope of Judicial Power II-2-54

B. The Role and Position of the Executive and the Judiciary II-2-54

1. The Executive's Inherent Powers II-2-55

2. The Judiciary's Inherent Powers II-2-60

Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

https://archive.org/details/casesmaterialson00vonm

Chapter III The Separation of Powers in Operation, Herein of the Jurisdiction of the Regular Civil Courts in Litigation Involving the Administration and of the Emergence of Administrative Courts II-3-1

Section I. The Evolution of the General Principles Regulating the Jurisdiction of the Regular Civil Courts in Litigation Involving the Administration II-3-1

A. Prior to the Blanco Decision (1873) II-3-1

1. A Period (1789-1815) of Drastic Limitations Upon the Jurisdictions of the Civil Courts II-3-1

2. A Period (1815-1873) of Expansion of the Jurisdiction of the Civil Courts II-3-2

B. The Blanco, Terrier and Feutry Decisions, the Modern Period of Substantial Limitations on the Jurisdiction of the Civil Courts II-3-10

C. The Historical and Institutional Background of the Blanco Decision, Herein Principally of the Institutional Evolution of the Council of State II-3-24

Section II. The Contours of the Jurisdiction of the Regular Civil Courts in Litigation Involving the Administration II-3-35

A. The General Principles: The Two Questions Principally to be Considered in Determining Whether the Civil Courts have Jurisdiction in Litigation Involving the Administration II-3-36

1. Does the Litigation Arise from an Act of, or Condition Brought about by, an Administrative Agent or Organ? II-3-37

a. In general II-3-38

b. Disputes incidentally involving questions of the interpretation or legality of an administrative act II-3-45

2. Is it Desirable that the Disoute in Question be Handled under a Special Juridical Régime, Recognizing the Peculiar Situation and Problems of the Administration rather than under the Ordinary Rules of the Civil Law? II-3-52

B. Exceptions to the General Principles: Other Situations in which the Civil Courts have Jurisdiction over Litigation Involving the Administration II-3-60

1. Financial Damages for Administrative Acts, Herein of the Voie de Fait II-3-56

2. Irremediable Taking Away or Withholding Possession of Real Property, Herein of the Emprise II-3-66

3. Non-Service Connected Faults of Administrative Agents, Herein Especially of the Faute Personnelle II-3-68

Chapter IV The Right to Judicial Review II-4-1

Section I. The System of Procedures and Remedies II-4-1

A. Procedures Available for Testing and Controlling, and Remedies Against Administrative Action II-4-1

1. In General II-4-1

2. The Availability of the Recours Pour Excès de Pouvoir Before the Council of State where Another Remedy can be had before a Civil or an Administrative Court, Herein of the Doctrine of the Recours Parallèle II-4-7

B. The Requirement that the Recours be Brought Against an Administrative Decision (règle de la Décision Préalable). II-4-11

Section II. When and Whether Administrative Action is Non-reviewable II-4-13

A. Review in the Absence of Statutory Provisions or in the Face of Restrictive Provisions II-4-13

1. Where the Action is Taken by an Administrative Official or Agency II-4-14

2. Where the Action is Taken by an Administrative Tribunal II-4-16

B. Categories of Acts Exempt from Judicial Review, Herein Especially of the Act de Gouvernement II-4-18

1. The Acte de Gouvernement II-4-18

2. Acts Done Upon Legislative Invitation or Delegation, Herein of the Règlement d'Administration Publique and the Decree-law II-4-27

Section III. Persons Who May Invoke Review II-4-30

Section IV. Exhaustion of Administrative Remedies and Ripeness of Administrative Action for Review II-4-39

Chapter V The Scope of Judicial Review II-5-1

Section I. In General II-5-1

Section II. The Scope of Review Accorded upon a Recours Pour Excès de Pouvoir II-5-4

A. Alleged Lack of Power in Respect to the Act in Question - Incompetence II-5-4

B. Alleged Formal and Procedural Defects - Vice de Forme II-5-5

C. Alleged Violation of a Rule of Law II-5-11

1. In General II-5-11

2. What Constitutes a Rule of Law for Purposes of Bringing a Recours Pour Excès de Pouvoir II-5-12

a. Interpretive Regulations and Statements of Policy II-5-12

b. General Principles of Law which have no Precise Legislative Basis II- 5-13

c. Contracts II-5-14

D. The Absence of any Stated Grounds, or the Alleged Inexactness of the Grounds Stated, for an Administrative Decision II-5-18

1. The Effect of a Failure to Assign Grounds for an Administrative Decision II-5-18

2. The Effect of Assigning Allegedly Inaccurate Grounds for an Administrative Decision, Herein Especially of the Scope of Review over Findings of Fact and of Administrative Discretion II-5-23

a. Review of Allegedly Inaccurate Findings of Fact II-5-23

b. Review of the Administrative Application of Legal Concepts to Undisputed or Established Facts II-5-24

c. The Extent to which the Administration has a Non-reviewable Discretionary Power II-5-29

E. Action Allegedly Done in Violation of the Purposes of the Law - Le Détournement de Pouvoir II-5-37

1. In General II-5-37

2. Investigatory Powers of the Administrative Courts with respect to Administrative Détournements de Pouvoir II-5-43

## BOOK III

## A PROBLEM IN THE LAW OF DELICTUAL OBLIGATIONS (TORTS) - WITH ALSO CASE STUDIES OF THE REACTIONS OF VARIOUS CIVIL LAW SYSTEMS TO SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC CHANGE

Chapter I. Introduction

Section I. Social and Economic Background T-I-1

A. The Industrial Revolution T-I-1

B. The Rise of the Automobile as a Means of Transportation T-I-15

Section II. Notes on the French, German and Swiss Law of Delictual Obligations and on the Common Law of Torts T-I-17

A. A General Survey T-I-17

B. Causation T-I-24

C. Contributory Negligence T-I-26

D. Vicarious Liability T-I-29

E. Relaxations of Liability T-I-31

Chapter II. The French Legal System's Reactions to Problems Posed by the Industrial Revolution and the Rise of the Automobile as a Means of Transportation

Section I. The Provisions of the Civil Code of 1804 T-II-1

Section II. The Traditional Rule T-II-8

Section III. The Recognition of the Problem of the Industrial Accident and the Various Solutions Proposed T-II-10

Section IV. A Legislative Intervention T-II-20

Section V. The Emergence of Article 1384 T-II-21

Section VI. Attempts to Limit the Scope of Article 1384 T-II-30

Section VII. Problems in the Current Judicial Administration of Article 1384 T-II-44

A. The Concept of Guard (La Garde) T-II-44

B. Defenses Available to the Defendant in an Action Based on Article 1384 T-II-49

1. The Plaintiff's Fault T-II-49

2. Cas Fortuit et Force Majeure T-II-51

3. Cause étrangere and Fait d'un Tiers T-II-53

Chapter III. The Reactions of the German Legal System to Problems Posed by the Industrial Revolution and the Rise of the Automobile as a Means of Transportation

Section I. Industrial Accidents T-III-1

Section II. The Civil Code of 1900, General Provisions Applicable to Industrial and Automobile Accidents T-III-13b

Section III. Automobile Accidents T-III-17

Section IV. Air Law T-III-28

BOOK IV

Part 1. The Contractual Obligation

Chapter II Social Purposes and Historical Encrustations in the Law of Contractual Obligations 106

Introduction 106

Section I. The Evolution of Contractual Obligation in the Civil Law - a Study in the Interaction of Policy and Historically Given Rules and Principles 107

A. The Roman Law 107

1. Nominate or Named Contracts 108

2. Innominate Contracts 113

3. Pacts 116

B. A Sketch of the Development of the General Law of Contractual Obligation

from the Ninth through the Eighteenth Century 131

Section II. Basic Economic and Social Premises and the Cases of Contractual Liability 145

A. A Comparative Note on Basic Economic and Social Premises in France, Germany and the United States 145

B. Why Does the Legal Order Enforce Informal, Executory Agreements? 152

1. At All. 152

2. To the Extent of the Obligee's Expectation Interest 157

C. Why Should the Legal Order Impose Formal Requirements Before Enforcing Certain Types of Contracts? 164

1. The General Problem of Form 164

2. The Explanations Given By the Legislative History for the Principal Formal Requirements found in the French and German Law of Contractual Obligation 171

a. Contractual Obligations in which the Sum or Value Exceeds a Certain Amount 171

b. Agreements Obligating One Party to Transfer Rights in Land 171

c. Suretyship Agreements 173

d. Agreements to Confer a Gratuitous Benefit (gift) 173

3. A Critique of Nominal Consideration as a Form in Comparison with the Formal Requirements of French and German Law 173

Part 2. Some Problems in Comparative Legal Research from the Law of Contractual Obligations

Chapter III. The Judicial Administration of Formal Requirements in French and German Law C-3-1

Section I. The General Attitude of the French and German Legal Systems towards Formal Requirements Applicable to Onerous Transactions as Seen in the Judicial Treatment of Problems Arising in Connection with an

Important Formal Requirement C-3-1

A. Article 1341 of the French Civil Code C-3-1

1. What Constitutes a Beginning of Written Proof (Article 1347)? C-3-1

2. What Constitutes Impossibility for Purposes of the Exception of Article 1348? C-3-4

3. The Effect of Articles 1325 and 1326 Upon the Formal Requirement of Article 1341 C-3-5

a. Article 1325 C-3-5

b. Article 1326 C-3-6

B. Article 313 of the German Civil Code C-3-11

Section II. The General Attitude of the French and German Legal Systems towards Formal Requirements Applicable to Non-Onerous Transactions (Such as Gifts) as Seen in the Judicial Administration of those Requirements in Cases Involving Agreements to Confer Benefits, which are, in some Sense, Gratuitous C-3-17

A. Have Party-created Substitutes for Required Code Formalities Been Accepted by the Courts? C-3-17

1. In French Law - The Donation Déguisée C-3-17

2. In German Law C-3-24

B. The Scope Accorded Formal Requirements, Herein of the Classification for Purposes of Requirements of Form of Transactions which, though in one Sense Gratuitous, Contain Elements which can be Considered Onerous C-3-24

1. Transactions in which an Element of Liberality is Combined with a Clearly Onerous Element, Herein of Promises of Mixed Gifts C-3-25

a. In French Law C-3-26

b. In German Law C-3-27

2. Promises Made in Recognition of a Pre-Existing Situation of Fact which did not, at the Time of Promising, Give Rise to a Legally Enforceable Obligation on the Part of the Promisor C-3-32

a. Three Special Types of Past Situations: Obligations Contracted by a Minor, Composition in Bankruptcy, Running of the Period of Limitations C-3-35

(i) Promise to fulfill an obligation contracted while a minor C-3-35

(ii) Promises by a bankrupt debtor, who had obtained a release of part of his debt through composition, to pay the released debt C-3-35

(iii) The effect of a promise to pay an obligation upon which the period of limitations has run C-3-36

(a) in French law C-3-36
(b) in German law C-3-36

b. The General Problem: Promises Made in Subsequent Recognition of Past Situations out of which no Legal Obligation had arisen on the part of the Promisor C-3-39

3. Charitable Subscriptions C-3-62

a. In French Law C-3-63

b. In German Law C-3-65

Chapter IV When is a Party to a Contractual Obligation in Default? C-4-1

Section I. Introduction C-4-1

Section II. When May a Party's Failure to Perform Pursuant to a Contract Constitute a Default, Herein of Interdependent Obligations and of the Effect of Changed or Unforeseen Circumstances C-4-6

A. The Effect of the Other Party's Non-Performance Upon an Obligator's Duty to Perform Where the Contractual Obligations are Interdependent and the Unperformed Obligation is Either Due After or Concurrently with the First Party's Performance, Herein of the Exceptio non (rite) Adimpleti Contractus C-4-6

1. The General Principle C-4-6

a. In French Law C-4-9

b. In German Law C-4-12

2. The Availability of the Exceptio Where Partial Performance Has been Received Under the Contract, Herein of the Limiting Principle of Materiality and of Other Techniques by Which Forfeiture is Prevented C-4-14

a. The Principle of Materiality C-4-16

(i) In French law C-4-16
(ii) In German law C-4-18

b. Other Techniques for Avoiding Forfeiture C-4-18

B. The General Problem, Herein of the Effect of Changed or Unforeseen Circumstances C-4-20

1. The Effect of Changed or Unforeseen Circumstances C-4-23

a. In French Law C-4-23

(i) In General C-4-23
(ii) Special Situations C-4-42

(a) Special Legislation C-4-42
(b) Long-term, fixed-price options C-4-44
(c) Leases C-4-46

b. In German Law C-4-47

(i) In General C-4-47
(ii) Special legislation C-4-48

2. The Adjusting of Relations Where Changed or Unforeseen Circumstances are Held to Affect an Existing Contractual Relationship C-4-53

Section III. What, if Anything, Must the Obligee Do to Put a Nonperforming Obligor in Default? C-4-58

A. Must the Obligee Affirmatively Indicate to the Obligor that he Desires the Obligor to Perform the Obligation which is now due Under the Contract, Herein of the Mise en Demeure and the Mahnung C-4-58

1. In French Law C-4-59

2. In German Law C-4-95

B. Must the Obligee, Where the Performance Due Him is to be Concurrent with His Performance, Tender Performance, or Make an Offer to Perform, his own Obligation? C-4-98

1. In French Law C-4-[illegible]

2. In German Law C-4-99

Chapter V Consequences of Default, Herein both of the Availability of Various Remedies to the Aggrieved Party in the Event of Non-Performance or of a Substantial Defect in Performance and of the Aggrieved Party's Right to Refuse Performances Tendered After Default and to Seek Damages for non-performance or to Rescind C-5-1

Section I. The Availability of Enforced Performance C-5-1

A. When Can the Aggrieved Party Obtain a Judgment for Performance C-5-1

B. How, and to What Extent, Can the Aggrieved Party Enforce a Judgment for Performance C-5-2

1. In French Law C-5-2

a. Execution of Judgments in General C-5-2

b. Indirect Execution of a Judgment to Perform, Herein of the Astreinte C-5-5

(i) Is the Astreinte Legal? C-5-5
(ii) How does the Astreinte operate and how effective is it? C-5-11
(iii) When will the courts have recourse to the Astreinte? C-5-15

2. In German Law, Herein of Executions of Judgments in General C-5-18

Section II. The Relationship of Enforced Performance to Various Forms of Substitutional Relief, Herein of Whether an Aggrieved Party Must Seek Enforced Performance Before the Various Forms of Substitutional Relief Will be Available to Him C-5-24

A. The French Law C-5-24

B. In German Law C-5-24

Section III. When Does a Defaulting Party Who Could, In Fact, Now or Later Perform, Lose His Right to do so C-5-25

A. In French Law C-5-27

B. In German Law C-5-32

Section IV. When Does a Party, Who Has Committed a Breach of Duty in Performing, Lost the Right to Perform Further Under the Contract, Also Lose the Right to Consider the Contract in Force with Reference to Performances Already Made, Herein of the Aggrieved Party's Right to Damages for Total Breach and to Total Rescission Where Partial Performance Has Been Made Under the Contract C-5-44

A. In French Law C-5-44

B. In German Law C-5-47

Section V. When Has the Aggrieved Party, Where Partial Performance Has Been Made Under the Contract, the Right to Treat the Contract as Partially Terminated and as Partially Still Existing C-5-50

A. In French Law C-5-50

B. In German Law C-5-51

BOOK V

THE JUDICIAL PROCESS

Chapter II The Judicial Process in the United States and Germany - A Comparative Analysis 1

Section I. The Nature of the Judicial Process 1

Section II. Traditional and Institutional Elements Which Tend to Affect the Degree of Judicial Awareness and Understanding 3

A. Mechanical versus Functional Conceptions of the Judicial Process 4

B. Practical Obstacles to Judicial Awareness and Understanding 14

Section III. Traditional and Institutional Elements Influencing the Extent to Which Courts Will Feel Free to "Make" New Law 17

A. The Judge's Position in Society 21

B. The Role of the Judiciary in the Reconsideration and Reformation of Policy 24

C. The Degree of Particularity with which Conflicts of Interests are Resolved by the Existing Body of Legal Precepts 28

D. Forceful Judicial Personalities 29

E. The Problem of Stability and Legal Security 31

Section IV. Conclusion 32